

### REMARKS

With the above amendments, claims 10, 11, 13, 14, and 22 remain in the application.

Claims 10 and 22 are amended in this response. Claims 12, 15, and 23 are canceled. These amendments are made to expedite prosecution. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of claims 10 and 22 before the amendments in a continuing application.

### Substance of Examiner Interview

The undersigned, Examiner Serrao, and Supervisory Examiner Coulter conducted a telephonic interview on April 7, 2008. The interview involved a discussion of claim 10 in light of the rejection based on Schneider. The undersigned explained to the Examiners that Schneider does not teach or suggest the recited interception node of claim 10 as data transfer in Schneider is directly between terminals. The Examiners proposed amending claim 10 to include the limitations of claims 12 and 15 to make claim 10 allowable. The undersigned asked the Examiners if claim 22 would also be allowable if amended to include the limitation of claim 23. The Examiners informed the undersigned that they will look into that proposed amendment.

Examiner Serrao informed the undersigned the following day, April 8, 2008, that claim 22 would be allowable if amended to include the limitation of claim 23. Agreement was reached to amend claim 10 to include the limitations of claims 12 and 15 and to amend claim 22 to include the limitations of claim 23 to make claims 10 and 22 allowable.

### Allowable Claims

The undersigned was informed on the interviews of April 7 and 8 that claim 10 would be allowable if amended to include the limitations of claims 12 and 15 and claim

22 would be allowable if amended to include the limitations of claim 23. The undersigned thanks Examiners Serrao and Coulter for deeming claims 10 and 22 allowable if so amended.

Accordingly, claim 10 has been amended to include the limitations of claims 12 and 15. Claims 12 and 15 have been canceled. Claim 22 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 23. Claim 23 has been canceled.

Dependent claims 11, 13, and 14 are allowable at least for depending on claim 10.

### Conclusion

For at least the above reasons, it is believed that claims 10, 11, 13, 14, and 22 are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned for any questions.

Respectfully submitted,  
En-Yi Liao

Dated: April 8, 2008



Patrick D. Benedicto, Reg. No. 40,909  
Okamoto & Benedicto LLP  
P.O. Box 641330  
San Jose, CA 95164  
Tel.: (408)436-2110  
Fax.: (408)436-2114

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified herein, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10.			
Signature:			
Typed or Printed Name:		Patrick D. Benedicto	Dated: April 8, 2008
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):			